Intervention Group/Class: *TIER 2* Goal Setting/Progress Monitoring Worksheet: Beginning of Intervention

School:	Target Group and Academic/Behavioral Area:
Intervention:	Primary Interventionist/Teacher
Team Members P	esent
Group Progress Go The average OPM	val: score for the intervention group will show at least a positive response to Tier 2 intervention as demonstrated by
progressing on ave	rage from a score of on (OPM Measure) to a score of by (Month)
Average Group Sco Group Aimline: Th Line of Positive res Insert Tic Mark Int	depicts Proficiency on OPM Measure  re: Actual average group OPM score for each month. Indicates the group average initial OPM score to EOY goal.  conse: When slope of line is at or above the group aimline.  erval Score Range on Y Axis  PM Measure:
	Standard  ——————————————————————————————————

## School Based Rtl Team: TIER 2 Goal Setting/Problem Solving Worksheet: Beginning of Year **Problem Identification**

School:	Target Group and Academic/Behavioral Area:	
Intervention:		
Team Members Present		
Student Progress Goal:		

\_% of students in intervention will show positive response to Tier 2 intervention towards expected level of performance/ benchmark on given ongoing progress monitoring assessments.

### Graph of Group Ongoing Progress

**Standard**: Line that depicts 70% (Standard for Effective Tier 2)

**School Goal**: (If higher than 70%): Shows school goal in percent of students in Tier 2 intervention showing a positive response **Trend Line**: Actual group performance in percent of students in Tier 2 intervention showing a positive response. At each OPM, compute that statistic, plot the data, and connect the line between the available points.



What aspects of the Tier 2 intervention, its support, or delivery, have contributed to or explain the gap between how our students achieved/behaved and how we expect them to?
<b>First check fidelity:</b> Frequency, content, and duration were as planned. Academic engaged time (minus transition and non-instructional activity) matched intervention guidelines/recommendations.
Consider the following areas (among others) when developing possible hypotheses:  Instruction: Accurately focused- The right students in the right intervention? Effectively delivered- Interventionist trained and fluent? Explicitly Instructed-As observed? Appropriately scaffolded? Ample guided practice opportunities? Limited use of repetitive, low interest activities?  Curriculum: Level- matched to students' instructional levels? Materials supported learning-Articulation between Tier 2 and Core?  Environment: High engagement? Organized routines? Higher frequency of positive to negative teacher directed feedback? Learners: Level of engagement? Attendance? Feelings of efficacy, competency? Motivation-
List Probable Hypothesis and Data that Supports/Doesn't Support Each?
1 Supported Not Supported Selected Data used to support
2 Supported Not Supported Selected Data used to support
3 Supported Not Supported Selected Data used to support
* sheet of (use as necessary)

Date\_\_\_\_\_

TIER 2 Problem Analysis

### TIER 2 Instructional/Intervention Plan

Considering hypotheses stated above, what instructional/intervention strategies will be used to strengthen core instruction/discipline/ behavioral support to improve effectiveness?

ier 2 Support Plan: What <b>What will be done?</b>	Person Responsible?	When will it occur?	Where will it occur?
what will be dolle:	r craon responsible:	When will it occur.	Where will it occur:
er 2 Documentation of F	idelity: How will intervention	fidelity be maintained and do	cumented?
What will be done?	Person Responsible?	When will it occur?	Where will it occur?

Use as many pages as needed. Page \_\_\_ of \_\_\_ (Use additional Intervention Plan sheets as needed for revision.)

# School Based Rtl Team: TIER 2 Response Evaluation Follow Up

School:	Date of Meeting:
Team Members Present:	
Target Group/Intervention:	Academic or Behavioral Area:
Summary of Progress Monitoring Data: Re	efer to Ongoing Progress Graph
Enter OPM Positive Response Percentage Data% of students with positive response at	ta on Ongoing Progress Graph OPM assessment after implementation of Tier 2 intervention plan.
Evaluate response and take appropriate at Response was (check one) 70% or above: continue plan or increase swith poor response (Complete Tier 1 and T	support to raise percentage *Consider requesting Tier 3 Support for Students
<ul> <li>Check for fidelity and increase fidelity</li> <li>Return to problem solving if necessar</li> <li>When the group has less than 70%</li> </ul>	ose the following options that are warranted.  y if necessary.  ry. (Utilize additional Problem Analysis and Intervention Plan sheets and attach)  with positive response, only request Tier 3 support for students who are both e and progressing slower than the group (Complete Tier 1 and Tier 2 Student Data
*Attach additional sheets as necessary as ind Follow up of conducted so far this year	icated above. : (Use one Follow Up sheet for each Tier 2 PS meeting after each OPM)

Notes	Date: